Engineering Robust Server Software API/Protocol/Server Design Ideas





Important API/Protocol/Server Design Ideas

- Design for failure
- Design for asynchronous interfaces
 - What does this mean?
- Don't trust anyone or anything











I need a thing







I need a thing







I need a thing

I need a different thing







I need a thing

- I need a different thing
- Here is the other thing



Synchronous Processing

 Synchronous processing is straight forward: connection.send_message(request); response = connection.read_response(); do_whatever(response);
 but...





Difficulty With Synchronous Behavior

connection.send message(request);

Ineed a thing response = connection.read_response();

Blocked waiting for response all this time (Thread can't do anything else)



do whatever(response);

Here is the thing









Think/Pair/Share

• Send + Receiving:

- Take a moment to think up approaches for how we can receive data
 - **Constraint**: cannot block this thread waiting for response!
 - **Pros and Cons** of approach?
 - **Bonus**: ties to names/concepts from 550?







• (1) Polling:

• Send just does:

- if (c.is response ready()) { response = connection.read response();
- connection.send message(request); connections.push back(connection); for (auto &c: connections) {
- Then we periodically try to receive:

- do whatever(response);



Receiving

Pros and Cons?





• (2) Interrupts?

• What is user-land equivalent of interrupts?



Receiving





• (2) Interrupts?

- What is user-land equivalent of interrupts? Signals
- This is not something you can do easily.
- TCP supports urgent data (delivers SIGURG)
 - Sender must mark data urgent
 - Not commonly used
 - You could have sender do in your own photo
 - but don't expect to e.g., have web clients mark all data urgent

...but similar idea?



Receiving





• (3) Spawn Another Thread To Receive:

- Send just:
 - connection.send message(request);
 - spawn thread(receive data, connection);
- Receive is done in receive_data on other thread: //blocking, but on its own thread response = connection.read response(); do whatever (response);



Receiving

Pros and Cons?





• (3) Dedicated receive threads?

- Pre-spawn some threads to receive
- Sender communicates state (what to do) to these threads



Receiving

Pros and Cons?







Failure->

- Power failure
- Crash
- Network disconnected

...







Here is the thing













I need a thing -----Here is the thing Here is the thing Here is the thing I give up



No Way To Tell Where Failure Happened

- We cannot tell the difference between
 - Data not reaching the receiver
 - Data reaching the receiver, but ACK not reaching us
- Is that a big deal?





Data Did Not Reach Receiver







ACK Did Not Reach Sender







• Famous problem: two generals





• I have a valley with the enemy army camped in it















• If either attacks alone, they lose







• One wants to send a message to the other to attack







• But that messenger might get captured...









• So now we need an acknowledgement...









• But the ACK could get lost...









I never got an ACK. My message was lost. I should **NOT** attack

• Now our armies will be defeated...



I ACKed her message. I MUST attack.





I never got an ACK. My message was lost. I should **NOT** attack

• Problem: we can never tell if our ACK got through • ACK the ACKs? Need infinite number...



I ACKed her message. I MUST attack.



No Way To Tell Where Failure Happened

- We cannot tell the difference between
 - Data not reaching the receiver
 - Data reaching the receiver, but ACK not reaching us
- Why is this such a big deal?
- - You all tell me...



What does this mean about how you need to design software?



Would Like "Exactly Once,"...but...

- We can **never** ensure "exactly once" semantics
 - Which is what we would really like:
 - Ensure that receiver gets our message exactly once
- So what choices do we have?





At Least Once / At Most Once

- At least once:
 - We can know if receiver has gotten message at least once
 - Receive an ACK—got it at least once
 - May send need to send multiple times, may receive multiple times
- At most once:
 - Send it once
 - May or may not get it—-at most once semantics.





At Least Once / At Most Once

- At least once:
 - We can know if receiver has gotten message at least once
 - Receive an ACK—got it at least once
 - May send need to send multiple times, may receive multiple times
- At most once:
 - Send it once
 - May or may not get it—-at most once semantics.

"But wait" you say...




At Least vs At Most Once

- TCP may send data multiple times (no ACK -> retransmission)
 - We said multiple sending goes with at least once
- But application receives any piece of data at most once
 - Once, unless connection fails





At Least vs At Most Once

- TCP may send data multiple times (no ACK -> retransmission)
 - We said multiple sending goes with at least once
- But application receives any piece of data at most once
 - Once, unless connection fails
- TCP layer has sequence numbers
 - Can identify duplicates, only passes data to application once





At Least vs At Most Once

- TCP may send data multiple times (no ACK -> retransmission)
 - We said multiple sending goes with at least once
- But application receives any piece of data at most once
 - Once, unless connection fails
- TCP layer has sequence numbers
 - Can identify duplicates, only passes data to application once
- This idea is key:
 - Can receive same data multiple times
 - But only act on it once





FSMS + Idempotent Operations

- Build protocols/APIs around idempotent operations
- Build implementations with **FSMs**



• Two ideas that work together to handle asynchronous + failures



- Online store, user asks to buy 5 widgets
 - What do we need to do to fulfill this request?
- Pair up and think about this...



Example: Buy 5 widgets







1. We accept the request + give it a unique ID E.g., 123456789



Buy 5 widgets







2. Send a request to our inventory management system



Buy 5 widgets

"req 87654: Reserve 5 widgets for transaction 123456789"







3. Receive successful acknowledgement "ack 87654: 5 widgets reserved for 123456789"



Buy 5 widgets



Send request to reserve 5 widgets

4. Send Credit Card Charge request External service: probably has its own unique ID?



Buy 5 widgets



Send request to reserve 5 widgets ACK Charge CC \$500 2 3 1

5. Receive confirmation of successful card charge









2 3

6. Inform user of successful purchase E.g., send email?



Buy 5 widgets

Send request to reserve 5 widgets rcv: ACK Charge CC \$500 rcv: ACK Email user: order is... 4 5 6





Send request to reserve 5 widgets rcv: ACK Charge CC \$500 2 3

7. Send request to warehouse to pack/ship





req: 8888 Send 5 widgets to 123 Fake St for order 123456789





Send request to reserve 5 widgets rcv: ACK Charge CC \$500 2 3

8. Receive ACK Now done (other systems may still deal with things)









Send request to reserve 5 widgets st to revealed to reveale the state of the s 2 3

But is that all there is to it?

8. Receive ACK Now done (other systems may still deal with things)









Send request to reserve 5 widgets rcv: ACK Charge CC \$500 2 3

No things could go wrong at pretty much any step!

8. Receive ACK Now done (other systems may still deal with things)









2. Message not ACKed? Re-send message Receiver already has req 87654 -> Ignores message





Buy 5 widgets



2. Insufficient widgets in warehouse? Go to error state (inform user, retry later...)







4. Timeout? Retry.









Need to release reservation









7. Timeout? Retry What about other failures here?









No other failures here: Confirmed/reserved everything in advance



4R

















States 8, 2F, 4D: finished.

Importance of Idempotence

Let us look at just this part and see why idempotence is so useful

Turned off, crashes, ...

Client needs to re-send request (external API should use idempotency)

Good thing warehouse will ignore duplicates!

5: will resend after timeout

Trust No One

- Another important consideration:
 - Never trust clients
- Server should validate everything
 - Client can forge any bit of request
 - Trusting client = huge security hole!
- We will talk more about this when we get to security
 - Especially authentication.



